# EXHIBIT A

John M. Neukom Barbara N. Barath Saurabh Prabhakar DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP 650 California Street San Francisco, California 94108 (415) 738-5700

Leslie A. Demers Chris J. Coulson Anthony P. Biondo Ryan P. Bisaillon SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP One Manhattan West New York, New York 10001 (212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Extreme Networks, Inc.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP RE-:

SEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

------

Case No. 3:20-cv-00451-JRG-DCP

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SNMP RESEARCH, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Extreme Networks, Inc. ("Extreme"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby supplements its Responses and Objections to SNMP Research, Inc.'s (together with SNMP Research International, Inc., "SNMPR" or "Plaintiffs") First Set of Requests for Admission to Extreme Networks, Inc., dated December 26, 2020 (the "Requests"), as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Discovery is still open in this litigation. Extreme reserves the right to supplement or amend its responses set forth below should additional responsive or relevant information or documents be discovered as discovery proceeds.

# **RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS**

Extreme's responses and objections to the Requests are set forth below.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:**

Admit You received SNMP Research Software.

# FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Extreme objects to the definition of "You," "Your," and "Extreme," as overly broad. Extreme will construe "You" to mean only "Extreme Networks, Inc.," the named defendant in this case. Subject to and without waiving this objection, Extreme responds as follows:

Admitted.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

Admit You received Version 16.2.0.9 of SNMP Research Software.

#### FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Extreme objects to the definition of "You," "Your," and "Extreme," as overly broad. Extreme will construe "You" to mean only "Extreme Networks, Inc.," the named defendant in this case. Subject to and without waiving this objection, Extreme responds as follows:

Admitted.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:**

Admit You inserted, added, or otherwise incorporated SNMP Research Software in Your Products.

#### FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:

Denied.

#### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:**

Admit that Extreme distributes Products containing SNMP Research Software to an area encompassing all or part of the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

# FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:

Denied.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:**

Admit that Extreme advertises or markets Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

# FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:

Denied.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 44:**

Admit that You are relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense of this action.

#### FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 44:

Extreme objects to the definition of "You," "Your," and "Extreme," as overly broad. Extreme will construe "You" to mean only "Extreme Networks, Inc.," the named defendant in this case. Extreme objects to the phrases "are relying, either in whole or in part," and "part of Your defense of this action" as vague and ambiguous. Extreme will construe "relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense" to mean that "using the License Agreement for the purposes of conducting Your defense." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Extreme responds as follows:

Admitted.

# **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 45:**

Admit that You are not relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense of this action.

# FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 45:

Extreme objects to the definition of "You," "Your," and "Extreme," as overly broad. Extreme will construe "You" to mean only "Extreme Networks, Inc.," the named defendant in this case. Extreme objects to the phrases "are relying, either in whole or in part," and "part of Your defense of this action" as vague and ambiguous. Extreme will construe "relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense" to mean that Extreme is "using the License Agreement for the purposes of conducting its defense." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Extreme responds as follows:

Denied.

Dated: July 21, 2023

Charles B. Lee, BPR# 011570 Jessica Malloy-Thorpe, BPR# 035234 Jordan B. Scott, BPR# 037795 MILLER & MARTIN, PLLC 832 Georgia Avenue 1200 Volunteer Building Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402

Tel: (423) 756-6600 Fax: (423) 785-8293 clee@millermartin.com jessica.malloy-thorpe@millermartin.com jordan.scott@millermartin.com

#### /s/ John M. Neukom

John M. Neukom (admitted pro hac vice)
Barbara N. Barath (admitted pro hac vice)
Saurabh Prabhakar (admitted pro hac vice)
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
650 California Street
San Francisco, California 94108
jneukom@debevoise.com
bnbarath@debevoise.com
(415) 738-5700

Leslie A. Demers (admitted pro hac vice)
Chris J. Coulson (admitted pro hac vice)
Anthony P. Biondo (admitted pro hac vice)
Ryan P. Bisaillon (admitted pro hac vice)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
One Manhattan West
New York, New York 10001
leslie.demers@skadden.com
chris.coulson@skadden.com
anthony.biondo@skadden.com
ryan.bisaillon@skadden.com
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Extreme Networks, Inc.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Extreme Networks Inc.'s Fifth Supplemental Responses and Objections to SNMP Research, Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Admission was served via email on this 21st day of July, 2023 upon counsel of record for Plaintiffs.

/s/ Saurabh Prabhakar Saurabh Prabhakar